

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/717,367 | 11/19/2003 | Timothy E. Larson | GN.001 | 6714 | |
| 38424 7 | 590 05/07/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| DUKE W. YEE | | | KAUFFMAN, BRIAN K | | |
| CARSTENS, Y | YEE & CAHOON, L.L.P. | | | | |
| P.O. BOX 802334 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| DALLAS, TX 75380 | | | 3765 | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/717,367 | LARSON, TIMOTHY E. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Brian K Kauffman | 3765 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 November 2003. | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45 | 03 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
|)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | · | o in this National Stage | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | , ,, | d. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | ite atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | ., , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | |

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The use of the trademarks ESERVER, INTELLISTATION, WINDOWS XP, JAVA, and BLUETOOTH has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Objections

Claims 13-21 are objected to because of the following informalities: claims 13-21 recite a "method of claim 11" and a "method of claim 12". However, these claims are all dependent upon independent claim 11, which claims a system. Further, claims 13-21 recite no method steps but rather recite structure only. The examiner recommends replacing the word "method" in each claim with the word "system".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tomita et al. (6,457,429). In regard to claims 1-10, Tomita discloses a method for updating stitch data in a storage device using a wireless connection comprising: receiving a command to update the stitch data in the storage device; determining if the storage device is currently in use; logically disconnecting the storage device from a stitching device; transferring new stitch data from a source system to the storage device via a wireless connection; updating the stitch data in the storage device with the new stitch data; reconnecting the storage device to the stitching device (col. 9, lines 58-67 and col. 10, lines 1-8).

In regard to claims 11-21, Tomita discloses a system for updating stitch data in a storage device using a wireless connection comprising; a stitching device (fig. 1, m); a storage device connected to the embroidery machine (col. 5, 43-48); a source system having stitch data, wherein the stitch data is transferred to the storage device in response to a command to update the stitch data in the storage device (col. 9, lines 58-67 and col. 10, lines 1-8).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Akira et al. (2004/0083022) discloses an embroidery device connected to a network via wireless connection. Price (6,295,481) discloses a control system for an automatic sewing station that utilizes flash memory. Muto (6,202,001) discloses an embroidery data creating device for creating embroidery stitch data that utilizes flash memory.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K Kauffman whose telephone number is (703)605-4933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F every week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (703)305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BKK

Peter Nerbun Primary Examiner